

## David Carruthers' Los Angeles Times Op-Ed (3/15/06) Pick-up

---

Find all outlets that ran David Carruthers' Los Angeles Times op-ed listed below, followed by the clips from each outlet.

<i>Los Angeles Times</i> (CA)	Print and online versions	3/15/06
<i>Houston Chronicle</i> (TX)	Online version	3/15/06
<i>San Jose Mercury News</i> (CA)	Print and online versions	3/16/06
<i>The Record</i> (NJ)	Print version	3/16/06
<i>Biloxi Sun Herald</i> (MS)	Online version	3/16/06
<i>Myrtle Beach Sun News</i> (SC)	Online version	3/16/06
<i>Macon Telegraph</i> (GA)	Online version	3/16/06
<i>Kansas City Star</i> (MO)	Online version	3/16/06
<i>Duluth News Tribune</i> (MN)	Online version	3/16/06
<i>Lexington Herald Leader</i> (KY)	Online version	3/16/06
<i>Bradenton Herald</i> (FL)	Online version	3/16/06
<i>Monterey County Herald</i> (CA)	Online version	3/16/06
<i>St. Paul Pioneer Press</i> (MN)	Online version	3/16/06
<i>SiliconValley.com</i>	Online version	3/16/06
<i>Grand Forks Herald</i> (ND)	Online version	3/16/06
<i>Columbus Ledger-Enquirer</i> (GA)	Online version	3/16/06
<i>Austin American Statesman</i> (TX)	Online version	3/17/06
<i>Centre Daily Times</i> (PA)	Print and online versions	3/18/06
<i>Chicago Tribune</i> (IL)	Print and online versions	3/19/06

<i>Tulsa World</i> (OK)	Print and online versions	3/19/06
<i>The Beacon Journal</i> (OH)	Print and online versions	3/23/06
<i>St. Paul Pioneer Press</i> (MN)	Print version (see 3/16 clip)	3/23/06

###

# Los Angeles Times

California; Editorial Pages Desk

**Don't bet against online gambling; The industry needs to be regulated, not outlawed.**

David Carruthers

DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company.

717 words

15 March 2006

[Los Angeles Times](#)

Home Edition

B-13

English

Copyright 2006 The Los Angeles Times

PEOPLE WHO NEVER bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gaming business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12-billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12% from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared to about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law, therefore, shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. Besides, no case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are actually taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address a number of important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone 18 or under, for example, and we have clear

rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices, too, could become standard in the industry. And third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the U.S. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulating of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money-laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies could also bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

March 15, 2006, 8:04PM

## Best bet: Regulate online gambling, don't outlaw it

Concerns about abuses can be addressed effectively

By DAVID CARRUTHERS

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament — maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day — brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gaming business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address a number of important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue.

That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

*Carruthers is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. This article originally appeared in the Los Angeles Times.*

# The Mercury News

MercuryNews.com

A

Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban

By David Carruthers

712 words

16 March 2006

San Jose Mercury News

MO1

OP2

English

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

-----  
DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.



## OPINION

The best bet is to regulate online gambling; Trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with 8 million participants is ridiculous.

DAVID CARRUTHERS

16 March 2006

The Record

All Editions

L09

English

© 2006 North Jersey Media Group Inc. Provided by ProQuest Information and Learning.  
All rights reserved.

PEOPLE WHO NEVER BET on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online. The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gaming business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble. This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law. Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed

even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address a number of important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach.

New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry.

Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but is growing.

We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue.

That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

David Carruthers is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. This appeared in the Los Angeles Times.

Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban

By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

---

DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.

Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban  
By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

-----  
DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.



Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

## **Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban**

By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

-----  
DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.

Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

## **Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban**

By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

-----  
DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.

# DuluthNewsTribune.com

Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

## **Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban**

By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

-----  
DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.



Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

## **Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban**

By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

---

DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.

Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

## **Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban**

By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

-----  
DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.

Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

## **Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban**

By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

---

DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.

Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

## **Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban**

By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

---

DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.

Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

## **Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban**

By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

-----  
DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.

Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

## **Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban**

By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

-----  
DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.

Posted on Thu, Mar. 16, 2006

## **Online gambling needs regulation, not a complete ban**

By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gambling business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less-reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but also is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies also could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

-----  
DAVID CARRUTHERS is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this article for the Los Angeles Times.

COMMENTARY

## Carruthers: The best bet is to regulate online gambling

**David Carruthers, SPECIAL TO THE LOS ANGELES TIMES**

Friday, March 17, 2006

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament — maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day — brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gaming business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address a number of important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

Carruthers is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this for the Los Angeles Times.

Posted on Sat, Mar. 18, 2006  
Regulate online wagering  
By David Carruthers

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gaming business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets violated the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. Partly because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. We have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address a number of important concerns. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

Britain provides a model for the United States with its Gambling Act, passed last spring. The law provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling but how to regulate a business that not only exists but is growing.

Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue.

That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

David Carruthers is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. He wrote this essay originally for the Los Angeles Times.



## The best bet is to regulate online gambling

**By David Carruthers, chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company: Los Angeles Times**

*Published March 19, 2006*

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament--maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day--brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gaming business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed

even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address a number of important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone. Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

Copyright © 2006, [Chicago Tribune](#)

# TULSA WORLD

NEWS

## **Best bet: Regulate online gambling**

DAVID CARRUTHERS

19 March 2006

Tulsa World

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament -- maybe it's the appeal of filling out those brackets and tracking their progress each day -- brings out the gambler in all of us. But if some members of Congress get their way, we won't be able to place any of those bets online. The proposed Internet Gambling Protection Act would prohibit using the Internet to operate a gaming business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry with consumer demand that includes an estimated 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead of outlawing it, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is now a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, an increase of more than 12 percent from last year and more than five times the amount wagered through Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The U.S. government says the consumers who placed these bets are in violation of the Wire Act, which was originally aimed at organized crime and sought to prevent gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble. This law shouldn't be applied to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere that has an Internet connection. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law. Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations. What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address a number of important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling companies already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Currently, companies use software to help their customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to

impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States. Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time for the U.S. government to face the facts: The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that not only exists but is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone.

Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue. That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

David Carruthers is chief executive of BetOnSports, an online wagering company.

# The Beacon Journal

Found on Ohio.com

## **Odds favor the regulation of on-line gambling**

By David Carruthers

23 March 2006

Akron Beacon Journal (OH)

The writer is chief executive officer of BetOnSports, an online wagering company. This commentary first appeared in the Los Angeles Times .

People who never bet on sports make an exception this time of year. Something about the NCAA basketball tournament brings out the gambler in people. But if a measure in Congress passes, we won't be able to place any of those bets online.

The Internet Gambling Protection Act would bar operating an Internet gaming business. But trying to shut down a multibillion-dollar industry used by some 8 million Americans annually is an empty legislative effort. Instead, Congress should regulate it.

Online gambling is a \$12 billion-a-year industry. Americans anted up more than \$500 million to bet on this year's Super Bowl online, 12 percent more than last year and five times the amount wagered via Nevada casinos. Overall, Americans wagered nearly \$6 billion online in 2005, compared with about \$1.5 billion in 2001.

The government says these bettors violated the Wire Act, which originally aimed at organized crime by preventing gambling businesses from operating by phone in states where it was otherwise illegal to gamble.

The law shouldn't apply to Internet betting. No case law or statute clearly defines where Internet bets are taking place. BetOnSports, for example, is based in Costa Rica. Our customers can place bets from anywhere with an Internet link. In part because of this ambiguity, no one has been prosecuted for online betting under the law.

Enforcing this outdated law, or passing new legislation, would be foolish at best and a violation of privacy and individual freedom at worst. Politicians who seek to prohibit online wagering in order to prevent underage gambling, excessive gambling and corruption could address these goals more effectively through regulation.

It may surprise our critics to know that we share their concern about gambling abuse. In fact, we have been seeking support from governments to devise systematic ways to protect vulnerable populations.

What this industry needs is regulation, not to be pushed even further into the shadows, where organized crime and less reputable people can carve out a niche for themselves.

Regulation can address a number of important concerns about online gambling. First, we can better prevent underage gambling. Most online gambling firms already try to prevent underage gambling. We don't advertise to anyone younger than 18, for example, and we have clear rules on our sites. Regulation could make these practices more enforceable and extend their reach. New technologies can provide regulators with better information, including the ability to provide an audit trail for each transaction or to block participation by certain players or classes of players.

Second, we can better deter compulsive gambling. Companies use software to help customers keep track of their betting histories. We can also use this software to impose cooling-off periods. With regulation, these practices could become standard in the industry. Third, regulators can ensure transparency and good corporate governance, as they do in most regulated industries.

The good news is that Britain provides a model for the United States.

Its Gambling Act, passed last spring, provides for the licensing and regulation of online gambling, including the establishment of a national gambling commission to protect consumers, restrict the access of minors and prevent money laundering and other criminal activity.

It is time to face facts. The issue is not whether it is possible to stop online gambling; the issue is how to regulate a business that exists and is growing. We have begun the process by working to create an independent advisory council to establish operating standards acceptable to everyone.

Not only would regulation strengthen companies that wish to operate responsibly, but legalizing our companies could bring in billions of dollars in tax revenue.

That's one gamble that would generate benefits for all Americans.

###